



no-fault newsline

A ROAD MAP FOR MOTOR VEHICLE INSURERS AND OWNERS

06.28.11

Supreme Court Declines to Reconsider *McCormick*

By Jason R. Church

Despite the election of Justice Mary Beth Kelly and the appointment of Justice Brian Zahra to the Michigan Supreme Court, the Court declined to reconsider *McCormick*. Many in the defense community believed it was only a matter of time before the Court overruled the former majority's July 31, 2010 decision in *McCormick v Carrier*, 487 Mich 180 (2010) and reinstated the prior serious impairment standard established by *Kreiner v Fischer*, 471 Mich 109 (2004). It seems they may have to wait a little longer.

On June 24, 2011, the Court issued orders denying the defendants' applications for leave to appeal in *Brown v Blouir* and *Wiedyk v Poisson*, both of which asked the Court to reconsider whether *McCormick* was correctly decided. In his concurring opinion, Chief Justice Young expressed concern that the Court's "interpretation of the threshold standard for noneconomic recovery...has not been consistent for more than a thirty-year span."

Rather than add a new chapter of inconsistency by overruling *McCormick*, Chief Justice Young believes that, at this point, "the Legislature must speak if it wishes to preserve the no-fault act's compromise between the provision of quick, generous insurance benefits without proof of fault and the act's restrictions on access to additional tort recovery." Chief Justice Young concluded his opinion by encouraging the Legislature to take up the issue stating:

"I encourage the Legislature to judge for itself whether the current interpretation provided in *McCormick* for what constitutes a "serious impairment of body function" is truly the interpretation it originally contemplated. Should the Legislature determine that *McCormick* undermines the "grand compromise" of Michigan's unique no-fault act, as I believe it does, that body may find it necessary to correct this Court's *McCormick* construction that, in my opinion, fails to give meaning to the Legislature's policy choices."

SECRET WARDLE NOTES:

Contrary to the expectations of the majority of the defense community, the Michigan Supreme Court has, for now, declined to reconsider its July 2010 decision in *McCormick v Carrier*, 487 Mich 180 (2010). Citing the Court's "teeter-totter" approach to interpreting the no fault act's serious impairment standard over the past 30 years, Chief Justice Young opined that the propriety of *McCormick* should be judged by the Legislature, and not the Court. It remains to be seen whether the Court or the Legislature—or anyone at all—will be the ultimate arbiter of the efficacy of *McCormick*, and when.

CONTINUED...

Despite Chief Justice Young's insistence that the Legislature, and not the Court, should judge the propriety of *McCormick*, it is not altogether clear that the Court will refuse to take action in the future. In a separate concurring opinion, Justice Markman echoed Chief Justice Young's concern over "teeter-totter justice," but nonetheless indicated that he may be in favor of reconsidering *McCormick* if the Court were presented with "a case in which *Kreiner* and *McCormick* compel different outcomes."

What is clear following *Brown* and *Wiedyk* is that the proper standard for determining what constitutes a serious impairment of body function remains a hotly contested issue. What remains to be seen is whether the Court or the Legislature will be the ultimate arbiter of the efficacy of *McCormick*, and when.

CONTACT US

Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040
Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040
Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

Mt. Clemens

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043-5651
Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

Lansing

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917
Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

Grand Rapids

2025 East Beltline SE, Ste. 209, Grand Rapids, MI 49546
Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

www.secrestwardle.com

SECRET
SW
WARDLE

Copyright 2011 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton,
Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

CONTRIBUTORS

Motor Vehicle Litigation Practice Group Chairs

Thomas J. Azoni
John H. Cowley, Jr.

Editor

Bonny Craft

We welcome your questions and comments.

OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for No-Fault Newslite, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at swsubscriptions@secrestwardle.com or 248-539-2850.

Other newsletters include:

Benchmarks – Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice
Blueprints – Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry
Boundaries – A guide for property owners and insurers in a litigious society
Community Watch – Breaking developments in governmental litigation
Contingencies – A guide for dealing with catastrophic property loss
Fair Use – Protecting ideas in a competitive world
In the Margin – Charting legal trends affecting businesses
Industry Line – Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation
Landowner's Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers
On the Beat – Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement
On the Job – Tracking developments in employment law
Safeguards – Helping insurers protect their clients
Standards – A guide to avoiding risks for professionals
State of the Art – Exploring the changing face of product liability
Structures – A framework for defending architects and engineers
Vital Signs – Diagnosing the changing state of medical malpractice and nursing home liability