Michigan Court Of Appeals Applies Loss Of Opportunity Doctrine In A Manner Favorable To Medical Malpractice Defendants

By Jeffrey H. Chilton

On February 2, 2005, the Michigan Court of Appeals issued an opinion which will be of great assistance to health care administrators and practitioners in cases in which a plaintiff claims a lost chance or opportunity.

In Kuper et.al. v. Metropolitan Hospital et. al. ____Mich App___ (Docket No 250952, rel'd 2/2/05), the Michigan Court of Appeals applied the doctrine of lost chance or opportunity in a manner favorable to medical malpractice defendants. The focus of plaintiff's complaint involved an alleged failure to timely treat a patient's bacterial endocarditis. Bacterial endocarditis is a life threatening disease characterized by bacterial growth on a patient's heart and its valves. Plaintiff claimed that delay in surgical treatment of the bacterial endocarditis significantly diminished this patient's chance of survival. The plaintiffs sought significant damages based upon the fact that the patient died as a result of bacterial endocarditis prior to surgical intervention.

All of plaintiff's proposed expert witnesses testified that had surgery been performed in a timely manner, this patient's chance of survival would be greater than fifty percent. Following the depositions of plaintiff's proposed expert witnesses, defendant moved for summary disposition which was granted by the trial court. The dismissal of this claim without payment by defendants was upheld by the Court of Appeals in *Kuper*.

The Court of Appeals evaluated the meaning of the medical malpractice proximate cause statute (MCL 600.2912 (a). MCL 600.2912 (a) states, in pertinent part:

In an action alleging medical malpractice, the plaintiff has the burden of proving that he or she suffered an injury that more probably than not was proximately caused by the

SECREST WARDLE NOTES:

This decision provides further support to all health care professionals, hospitals and their counsel in their efforts to dismiss medical malpractice claims. A motion for summary disposition should be seriously considered in any medical management dispute in which the plaintiff argues a lost chance or opportunity. In such cases, defendant should file affirmative defenses based upon the proximate cause statute and interpretive case law of the statute with the first responsive pleadings. Defense counsel should work on developing medical evidence to support such affirmative defenses during a claim's discovery period.

CONTINUED...

negligence of the defendant or defendants. In an action alleging medical malpractice, the plaintiff cannot recover for loss of an opportunity to survive or an opportunity to achieve a better result unless the opportunity was greater than 50%.

The second sentence of the statute has been interpreted by the Michigan appellate courts to mean that a plaintiff must show that a defendant's negligence caused the probability of survival to decrease by fifty or more percentage points. See *Fulton v. William Beaumont Hospital*, 253 Mich App 70; 655 NW 2d 569 (2000).

In *Kuper*, plaintiff relied on the benefit of hindsight and attempted to argue that the fifty-percent rule was satisfied because the fact that the patient died meant that the patient's survival probability was, of necessity, zero. The Court of Appeals rejected this sophistry. Carefully examining the record, the Court of Appeals indicated that even if surgery was delayed does not mean that surgery was no longer an option. In support of its decision, the Court pointed out the fact that this patient was undergoing a pre-surgical regimen in anticipation of surgical intervention at the time of this patient's demise. Therefore, a dismissal of these claims against the health care providers was logical and appropriate.

CONTACT US

Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040 Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040 Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

Mt. Clemens

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043-5651 Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

Lansing

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917 Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

Grand Rapids

1550 East Beltline, S.E., Ste. 305, Grand Rapids, MI 49506-4361 Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

Champaign, IL

2919 Crossing Court, Ste. 11, Champaign, IL 61822-6183 Tel: 217-378-8002 Fax: 217-378-8003

www.secrestwardle.com



Copyright 2005 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton, Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

CONTRIBUTORS

Professional Liability Practice Group Chair Mark E. Morley

Medical Malpractice Practice Group Chair Jeffrey H. Chilton

Nursing Homes / Assisted Living Practice Group Chair Lisa Anstess

Editor

Carina Carlesimo

We welcome your questions and comments.

OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for Vital Signs, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at ccarlesimo@secrestwardle.com, or 248-539-2850.

Other newsletters include:

Benchmarks – Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice
Blueprints – Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry
Boundaries – A guide for property owners and insurers in a litigious society
Community Watch – Breaking developments in governmental litigation
Contingencies – A guide for dealing with catastrophic property loss
Fair Use – Protecting ideas in a competitive world
In the Margin – Charting legal trends affecting businesses
Industry Line – Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation

Industry Line – Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation Landowners' Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers No-Fault Newsline – A road map for motor vehicle insurers and owners

On the Beat – Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement

On the Job – Tracking developments in employment law

Safeguards – Helping insurers protect their clients

State of the Art – Exploring the changing face of product liability **Structures** – A framework for defending architects and engineers